Question #1: Spec 01.11.00 indicates the ConEd Transformers will be installed by the contractor, whereas drawing E-013 (note #8) says Con Ed will install them. Please clarify who will install these transformers.

Answer #1: The specification and drawing will be updated to clarify role in forthcoming addendum. Con Ed will furnish and deliver all transformers, network protectors, service bus, and associated service entrance equipment to be installed by the CP3 Contractor within the Con Edison building. Contractor shall be responsible for rigging in place and installing all equipment in accordance with Con Edison Specifications. Contractor shall maintain communication with Con Edison Representative throughout construction.

Question #2: Please provide specific details for site & facility required temporary requirements.

Answer #2: Please refer to Specification Section 01 51 30 for Temporary Electrical Requirements. Con Edison does not currently have sufficient power available at the site to support construction activities; therefore, generators are currently being utilized by the CP2 Contractor for temporary power. Please clarify if additional information regarding the site or facility temporary requirements is being requested.

Question #3: Please clarify any interim power requirements for the existing pump station.

Answer #3: The existing power supply can be utilized through construction with provisions for a backup generator as specified. However, interim power for the existing pump station may be provided by utilizing a generator, as may be required for sequencing of the work and upon transitioning flow to the new 2nd Avenue Pump Station.

Question #4: Engineer's summary/ estimate shows CET 450.1 = 8 hours; CET 450.2 = 24 hours; CET 450.3 = 16 hours. However, the detailed SOW pages show CET 450.1 = 8 hours; CET 450.2 = 8 hours; CET 450.3 = 8 hours. Please clarify.

Answer #4: This Section U package was provided by Con Edison and includes estimated hours for each CET item. Coordination with Con Edison will be required following issuance of the NTP.

Question #5: Refer to drawing G-007, "ASSET IDENTIFICATION", mentions a "STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURE (SOP)", "list". Please provide this "SOP" for "list" so we can properly identify the equipment.

Answer #5: Contractor shall coordinate with Owner for acquisition of BWT's Revised CMMS Asset Profile Guidance: Standard Operating Procedure (SOP), revision 13.0 or later. It shall be noted that Engineer referred to revision 13.0 for development of tags during design. Contractor shall be responsible for identifying and communicating deviations from design with Engineer.

Question #6: Refer to specification 27.42.19-Public Information Systems Part 1C, it says:

"Public Information Systems shall be provided in accordance with the requirements under this Section and the Contract Drawings."

"This Section includes Public Information systems requirements for the following: 1. Digital displays for education and cultural content along Nevins Street and Butler Street."

Contract drawings do not seem to show any information regarding these Digital Displays. Also, this location is approximately 1 mile away from the project site

Please confirm if this scope is part of this contract (it may just be a "template" spec).

If it is, please provide drawings showing quantities, sizes, locations, details, etc.

Answer #6: Specification has been removed in its entirety as part of Addendum #1.

Question #7: We are unable to locate the geotechnical report for this project. Please provide this report or indicate where the report is located within the bid documents.

Answer #7: Geotechnical reports were provided with the Existing Conditions Report of the Bid Exhibits. Bid Exhibits have since been uploaded in Passport.

Question #8: Please see RFI from Manufacturer:

I could not find any platform sections in the drawings for the elevated platforms. The specifications mention that FRP adjustable legs are required to support the grating.

The specifications also call for 1-5/8" FRP covered grating. Could you please confirm whether intermediate supports and FRP pedestals should be included?

Additionally, we need the platform sections to determine the required supports and platform elevations/heights.

Please advise.

Answer #8: Refer to drawings A-300, A-302, A303, A-400, 402 and 403 for the roof legends which indicate note tag 06 74 13 - Elevated FRP grating Path. The text associated with the note indicated on drawing A-002 which reads: Provide egress path of FRP grating elevated +/-12-inches above roof slab to aligned with door sill at location indicated on the contract drawings.

Question #9: Contract drawings B-500.00 and B-501.00 provide the Micropile details for Type 1, Type 2, Type 3, Type 3A and Type 3B Micropiles. After reviewing the contract documents it is not clear at what locations Type 3B Micropiles are to be installed. Please clarify where the Type 3B Micropiles are to be installed.

Answer #9: Type 3B Micropile locations are indicated on Structural Dwgs Nos S-953 and S-965, and on Civil Dwgs No C-311, C-312 and C-313.

Question #10: Contract drawing L-960.00 details various sections that call for a "Underdrain". The Underdrain call out references "see Civil Drawings". After reviewing the Civil Drawings, it is unclear the location and lengths of the Underdrain. Please clarify the locations of the Underdrain and what size diameter and material the underdrain is to be.

Answer #10: All underdrains shall be perforated 6" PVC pipe. Underdrains are shown on revised drawings C-121.01 and C-124.01.

Question #11: Water Main Service plans and profiles are shown on contract drawings C-301.00 for the GCC Facility Water Service and on C-302.00 for the CSO Facility Service. Contract drawings C-131.00 and C-133.00 and Force Main drawings C-321.00, C-322.00 and C-323.00 show that a Water Main Service is to be installed along 2nd Avenue from the Dead End area of 2nd Avenue to the intersection of 2nd Avenue and 6th Street and then along 8th Street from the intersection of 2nd Avenue and 8th Street to the intersection of 3rd Avenue and 8th Street. The contract documents do not provide a profile detail for this Water Mains and are not clear on the connections to any existing Water Mains. Please clarify and provide a profile detail for the Water Main Service along 2nd Avenue and along 8th Street.

Answer #11: The water mains shall be installed in accordance with the General Water Distribution Main Notes on drawing C-002.00, which reference NYCDEP Sewer and Water Main Specifications. Depth of water main installation shall be in accordance with Section 60.12 – Laying Ductile Iron Pipe and Fittings. See profiles on drawing C-131.01 and Section I on drawing C-327.01 where water mains require shallower or deeper installation. See revised drawings C-321.01, C-322.01, and C-323.01 for connection notes.

Question #12: Contract drawing C-325.00 details the 30" Combined Sewer restoration. The restoration plan calls for the installation of 1 run of 30" Pipe and 2 runs of 12" Pipe. Please clarify the following:

- ***a. For the one new 30" combined sewer pipe, please clarify what material type and class the pipe is to be?***
- ***b. For the two new 12" sewer pipe, please clarify what material type and class the pipe is to be?***
- ***c. For the 12" sewer pipes the drawing states to see S-966.00. After review of the contract documents there appears to be no S-966.00. However, S-963.00 appears to have such details for the 12" sewer pipes. Please clarify.***

Answer#12:

a. The new 30" combined sewer pipe shall be RCP Class III as noted in the pipe schedule in Specification Section 33 06 01.
b. The two new 12" storm sewer pipes shall be Class 56 Ductile Iron Pipe as noted in the pipe schedule in Specification Section 33 06 01.
c. The drawing reference has been updated to reference S-963 on the revised drawing C-325.01.

Question #13: Contract drawing C-904.00 provides the Storm Drainage Structures Table. This table shows that the 2nd Avenue Influent Junction Chamber is to be a 96" diameter Precast structure per drawing C-905.00. Drawing C-905.00 shows that the 2nd Avenue Influent Junction Chamber is to be a "square" precast structure. Furthermore, C-905.00 states that the Chamber should be based on NYCDEP detail drawings SE30A, C & D. Please clarify the following:

- ***a. Is the Chamber to be a circular chamber or a rectangular chamber?***
- ***b. Provide correct details for this Chamber.***

Answer #13:

a. The chamber is to be rectangular. The table has been revised on drawing C-904.01 and will be issued in forthcoming Addendum.
b. The detail on drawing C-905.01 and Specification Section 33 39 10 has been revised to indicate a delegated design to the Contractor and will be issued in forthcoming Addendum.

Question #14: Regarding the bollards please provide model # and size of bollards shown in spec section 32 33 12 Site Furnishings.

Answer #14:

R-1007-06 Steel Pipe Security Bollard (6-5/8 in)
R-7307 Stainless Steel Bollard Cover (8-5/8 in)
Refer to bollard detail 3/L-944.00.

Question #15: Architectural note 03 33 00.4 – Exposed Tubular Concrete Finish, references Note 09 91 00.6, Anti Graffiti Coating along with section 03 33 00 Architectural Cast-in-place concrete. Note 09 91 00.6 specifies anti-graffiti coating on all exposed concrete and cast stone coping surfaces for the full height of the exterior surfaces of the CSO facility. Please confirm that the anti-graffiti coating is required on all exposed exterior concrete surfaces and cast stone coping throughout the full height of the CSO facility.

Answer #15: Confirming that the anti-graffiti coating is required on all exposed exterior concrete surfaces and cast stone coping throughout the full height of the CSO facility as indicated in Architectural note 03 33 00.2 – EXPOSED TUBULAR CONCRETE FINISH and reference architectural note 09 91 00.6 – ANTI-GRAFFITI COATING as written on drawings A-002 and A-003.

Question #16: As per finish schedule, Network protection compartment 2 & 3 shall be painted as per ConEd specification EO-1091 and EO-100,184. However, we could not find this specification in the provided project specification booklets.

Can you please provide the specified specifications for painting Network compartments.

Answer #16: These are Con Edison specifications and need to be obtained from the utility for the latest requirements.

Question #17: As per Keynote B on Drg. 520, MIC coating is required at the 2nd Avenue Pump Station Wet Well and Influent Channel. However, Specification Section 09 96 56 and the scope of work reference MIC coating at the walls, ceiling, and floor of the 2nd Avenue Pump Station and Wet Well.

Answer #17: Provide MIC coating on all interior surfaces of the wet well chamber and influent channel. The termination point shall extend up to the top of the access hatches. MIC coating is not required within the pump station room above this elevation. Clarification to Section 09 96 56 will be addressed in forthcoming Addendum.

Question #18: Please clarify whether the MIC coating scope includes the walls, ceiling, and floor of the 2nd Avenue Pump Station room above EL 14.00, in addition to the Wet Well Chambers and Influent Channel.

Please confirm the full extent of surfaces requiring MIC coating.

Answer #18: Provide MIC coating on all interior surfaces of the wet well chamber and influent channel. The termination point shall extend up to the top of the access hatches. MIC coating is not required within the pump station room above this elevation. Clarification to Section 09 96 56 will be addressed in forthcoming Addendum.

Question #19: Refer to drawing E-012 (single line), it shows a portable 75 kW generator set, Please provide specification for it.

Answer #19: This is a portable generator connection. The generator is not included in the Contract. A note will be added to the sheet and provided via upcoming addendum.

Question #20: System Instrumentation-Refer to Drawing I-102 Instrumentation P& I D and Electrical Control Riser Diagram in drawing E-030. Please indicate location of Instruments ZSO 248. CE01.01 and ZSC .248. CE01.01

Answer #20: Update to electrical plan drawing will be provided via upcoming addendum.

Question #21: System Instrumentation- Refer to Drawing Refer to Drawing I-102 Instrumentation P& I D and Electrical Control Riser in drawing E-030-. Please indicate location of equipment 14-00.50.536

Answer #21: Update to electrical plan drawing will be provided via upcoming addendum.

Question #22: System Instrumentation- Refer to Drawing Refer to Drawing I-102 Instrumentation P& I D and Electrical Control Riser in drawing E-030. Please indicate location of HS- 175.CO01.01

Answer #22: Update to electrical plan drawing will be provided via upcoming addendum.

Question #23: System Instrumentation- Refer to Drawing E-212 FIT 115BT01.01 shown in 2nd Ave Pump Station and FIT 115BT01.01 shown in Dumper Area. Please indicate which one is valid.

Answer #23: Update to electrical plan drawing will be provided via upcoming addendum.

Question #24: System Instrumentation- Refer to Drawing E-212 it shows AL 254. AM01.03 and AU 254. AM01.03 instead of AL -254 BT02.01 and AU 254 BT02.01. Drawing H-002 shows designation AM01 to indicate location Fan Room. Please confirm that this was a typo.

Answer #24: Update to electrical plan drawing will be provided via upcoming addendum.

Question #25: System Instrumentation- Refer to drawing E-200. Please indicate if light layer conduit routing is the suggested conduit routing for instrumentations and controls.

Answer #25: Update to electrical plan drawing will be provided via upcoming addendum.

Question #26: System Instrumentation-Refer to drawing E-077 Cable schedule 5 – The following conduits: C-248-CH01.09A through E, C-248-CH01.10 A through E. C-248-CH01.11 A through E, C-248-CH01.12 A through, C-248-CH01.13 A through E, C-248-CH01.14A though E are routed via C-CPB4-A. The plan drawings do not show the location of CPB4. Please clarify.

Answer #26: Update to electrical plan drawing will be provided via upcoming addendum.

Question #27: Fire Alarm Specification 28-31-00.11 Section 2.02 (pdf page 3130) calls for a Video Display Terminal (VDT). Fire Alarm vendors/manufacturers pointed out VDT's are no longer used. Please advise if another component will be acceptable.

Will an updated and fully designed Fire Alarm System be provided? Several Fire Alarm vendors/manufacturers pointed out there's not a fully designed Fire Alarm Riser and the plan view drawings ONLY show pull stations.

Answer #27: Refer to Drawing E-034, note 3 pointing to fire alarm specification. The fire alarm specification is a performance specification. The contractor is responsible for the design, furnishing, and installation of the fire alarm system as detailed within the spec requirements.

Question #28: On Drawing P-003, The Fixture Tag HCV is indicated to be a Zurn Z-1321XL which is a wall hydrant, not a 12" House Control Valve. Please ask them to review and advise.

Answer #28: House control valve shall be Figure 35FW as manufactured by Anvil and Smith-Cooper (ASC) Engineered Solutions. The plumbing fixture schedule on P-003 will be updated and provided via upcoming addendum.

Question #29: On Drawing P-003, The fixture tagged FCV-1 is indicated to be an 8" Flood Control Valve (Watts Sentry Plus Alert). This is actually a connection kit for a Watts RPZ. The fixture tag RPZ-1 is a 6" 957RPDA already equipped with a flood sensor. Do they want a 2nd 6" Device, and if so, please specify the exact valve to be figured.

Answer #29: Flood control valve, FCV-1, shall be Watts model LFF113FP. FCV-1 shall be controlled by the flood control sensor located on the common section of relief drain piping from RPZ-1 and RPZ-2, as

shown in the RPZ Assembly Isometric detail on drawing P-210. No additional 6" device associated with RPZ-1 is required. The plumbing fixture schedule on P-003 will be updated and provided via upcoming addendum.

Question #30: Please confirm that no tamper switches are required for this project, as none are shown.

Answer #30: As indicated in Note 2 on drawing P-211, valve tamper switch locations are shown on the Fire Protection drawings. Tamper switches for this project shall be provided by the Fire Protection Contractor, as indicated in Fire Alarm Connection Schedule Note 2 on drawing F-001.

Question #31: Spec 221519.10 "Compressed Air System" specifies that there is a facility wide compressed air system to be provided. However, the Plumbing Drawings do not show one. If required. Please provide drawings.

Answer #31: The compressed air system is part of the service air system located in the Process Mechanical sheet M-004.

Question #32: Will the domestic water riser diagrams (P-008 and 009) be reissued showing valves for the 2" and down piping or are we to follow the drawings shown and assume no additional valves are required.

Answer #32: Plumbing drawings will be updated and provided via upcoming addendum.

Question #33: Detail 3 on P-900 shows an eight outlet electric trap primer assembly. None are indicated on the P-003 schedule or the drawings. The 8 1/2" line runouts to the drains are also not shown. Please review and advise what we are or are not to include for this item.

Answer #33: No electric trap primer assemblies are required. P-900 will be updated to remove Detail 3 via upcoming addendum.

Question #34: Schedule A, Contract Article 15 – "Liquidated Damages" states, $32,800 for each consecutive calendar day over substantial completion time. No interim milestones are included.

Please confirm "Liquidated Damages" at the specified daily rate will not be assessed for failure to achieve "Milestone #1."

Answer #34: Confirming that $32,800 will only be assessed for each consecutive calendar day over substantial completion time. This $32,800 per day will not be assessed for failure to achieve Milestone #1 on-time.

Question #35: Section 01 27 10 – "Project Milestones and Scheduling Constraints," Paragraph 1.02 C.1 indicates "a milestone date existing for completion of all Work necessary for activation of the CSO Facility by February 1, 2029 (as set forth in Milestone #1)"

Paragraph C.2 states "in addition to the Liquidated Damage value provided in Schedule A for the substantial completion of the Work, the Contractor shall be required to reimburse the City for payment of any fines imposed by United States EPA that the City of New York may be subject to the extend such fines are incurred as a result of the Contractor's failure to complete the work in a timely manner as noted herein:"

A review of the work necessary to achieve activation of the CSO Facility indicates meeting Milestone #1 by February 1, 2029, is highly unlikely. Please extend the Milestone date to a minimum of 18 months later, August 1, 2030.

Further, since CP-3 Notice to Proceed and CP-2 Substantial Completion dates are undefined, please modify Milestone #1 to be a duration from CP-3 site access rather than a fixed date. Delays to CP-3 NTP or CP-2 Substantial Completion should not be allowed to reduce the duration the CP3 contractor has to complete their work.

Without the addition of significant time to perform the work necessary to achieve Milestone #1, and a duration to complete the work commencing from available site access, our Company may decline to bid on this project.

Answer #35: The EPA Administrative Order requires DEP to issue NTP for the CP-3 Contract by February 1, 2027. The contractual completion date (Substantial Completion) for the CP-2 Contract is July 25, 2027. Per Specification Section 01 73 12, Paragraph 1.05.C.1, the Site will be turned over to the CP-3 Contractor after CP-2 Substantial Completion is issued. While DEP cannot guarantee that there are no delays in achieving these dates, every effort is being made to satisfy these EPA and contract milestones. These dates should be used in developing the CPM schedule, planning work, and evaluating risks.

Question #36: RFI #1 - The contract documents specify a required completion date for this project; however, the start of work is contingent upon completion of the current phase. Please clarify when the contractor for this project will be permitted to access the site and commence work. Please also confirm how the anticipated start date will be established to ensure the contractor can meet the contractually specified completion date.

Answer #36: The EPA Administrative Order requires DEP to issue NTP for the CP-3 Contract by February 1, 2027. The contractual completion date (Substantial Completion) for the CP-2 Contract is July 25, 2027. Per Specification Section 01 73 12, Paragraph 1.05.C.1, the Site will be turned over to the CP-3 Contractor after CP-2 Substantial Completion is issued. While DEP cannot guarantee that there are no delays in achieving these dates, every effort is being made to satisfy these EPA and contract milestones. These dates should be used in developing the CPM schedule, planning work, and evaluating risks.

Question #37: RFI #2 - Current anticipated lead times for the MCC are approximately 44+ weeks. Given the overall contract duration of two years for substantial completion of milestone 1, we have concerns that this extended lead time may not provide a reasonable amount of time to complete the

remaining installation, testing, commissioning, and associated work necessary to meet the contractually required completion date. Please extend the milestone 1 completion date.

Answer #37: The request is under consideration.

Question #38: Since this project requires extensive takeoff and pricing, as well as, extensive analysis of access, work hours and logistics, we are formally requesting a minimum of 8-week postponement for the project. Unless this extension of time is given, we will be unable to complete our bid estimate and provide you with a competitive price on this project. In addition, many of our subcontractors have indicated the same and will be unable to provide pricing to us for incorporation into our bid. Granting this request will ensure the NYCDEP receives the best possible pricing and responsive bids for this project.

Answer #38: The request is under consideration.

Question #39: Due to the size and complexity of this project, we respectfully request an eight week extension to the bid date.

Answer #39: The request is under consideration.

Question #40: Due to the size and complexity of this project, we respectfully request an eight week extension to the RFI deadline in order to have sufficient time to thoroughly review all contract documents

Answer #40: The request is under consideration.

Question #41: Due to the size and scope of the project, we respectfully request an extension to the RFI submission deadline to allow sufficient time for a thorough review of the project documents and preparation of any necessary requests for information.

Answer #41: The request is under consideration.

Question #42: CAC Industries, Inc. respectfully requests that NYCDEP postpone the bid due date for Contract CSO-GC-SFS-OH-CP3, Construction of CSO Facilities for Gowanus Canal, Construction Package 3, by a minimum of four weeks beyond the currently scheduled date.

The scope and complexity of this project require substantial coordination among civil, structural, mechanical, electrical, instrumentation, and specialty subcontractors and suppliers. Preparation of a responsive bid requires a thorough evaluation of construction sequencing, maintenance of existing operations, utility interfaces, environmental requirements, and the coordination of work with preceding and subsequent construction packages.

Additional time is also necessary to review and incorporate the revisions and supplemental investigation reports issued with Addendum 1, resolve outstanding questions, complete quantity takeoffs, and obtain and evaluate complete subcontractor and supplier proposals. These activities are

essential to developing a coordinated construction approach and reliable pricing that fully addresses the Contract requirements.

A minimum four-week extension would provide bidders the time necessary to complete this work and submit responsive, comprehensive, and competitive bids. Given the magnitude of the project and the interdependence of its construction activities, we believe this extension is warranted and would benefit both NYCDEP and the bidding community.

Please confirm whether NYCDEP will grant this request and issue a revised bid date by addendum. We appreciate your consideration.

Answer #42: The request is under consideration.

Question #43: We formally request an extension of the bid date by 1 month.

Answer #43: The request is under consideration.

Question #44: We would like to request a due date extension for proposals of (4) additional weeks.

This would make the new proposed due date December 15th, 2026.

Answer #44: The request is under consideration.